

## The Weekly Clarion.

By E. Barksdale, J. L. Power, Harris Barksdale

**Rates of Subscription.**  
Weekly Clarion—Two dollars per year, and an extra copy to get up of a club of ten or more.  
In advance paper will be discontinued when time expires.

THURSDAY, - FEBRUARY 6, 1873.

### The Compensation of the Law Reporter.

The approval by the Governor of the bill reducing the allowances of the Reporter of the decisions of the Supreme Court, is highly commendable. It is to be regretted that he has detracted from the merits of his action by accompanying it with a recommendation that a supplemental bill be passed raising the "rates above" those fixed in the bill he had signed, on the ridiculous pretence that the compensation is too small. The Governor is entirely mistaken. The compensation (\$3 per page for every page contained in a single volume, with the privilege of selling the work at \$5 per copy) is amply sufficient. It was the price fixed in the Code of 1857, when the office commanded such legal ability and talent as J. Z. George—the very highest in the State. No complaint was made that the pay was not ample. It is abundant now, and is the present Reporter, who is also engaging the high salary of the Attorney General's office, decides that he cannot perform the service for the price fixed in the new law, the Supreme Judges will have no difficulty in finding a person who will. There is neither sense nor justice in paying the present Reporter from five to seven thousand dollars a volume for service that can be commanded at from two thousand to twenty-five hundred dollars. The tax-payers can't afford to be bled at that rate for the benefit of individuals. It is the disregard of their rights in the matter of taxation and expenditures which has made the present Radical rule the synonym of oppression in their eyes. To the Legislature we would say, in their name, let the new law have a trial. It is wise to make haste slowly, particularly in the matter of going back on the path leading in the right direction. The fault of the Governor, was in his failure to sign the bill when it passed at the last session. His delinquency has lost the State a snug sum amounting to \$20,000 and upwards.

### The Subsidy Scandal Investigated—The Plot Thickens.

This important investigation is still going on. It is reported that the Committee have arrived at the material and important fact that the Ripley Railroad Company expended four thousand dollars to procure an opinion of the Attorney General, authorizing the issuance of the eighty-four thousand dollars claimed under the Subsidy Act. To what parties the said moneys were paid—the nature of the services rendered—and whether the circumstances show that the Attorney General received any portion of it—are matters about which the Press and the Public will draw their own conclusions when the testimony shall have been printed for the use of the Legislature. The Committee (that is the majority, we mean,) contrary to usage in such cases, and especially contrary to the example of the Congressional Committee, in the examination now in progress of the scandal involving the character of many of the leading men in Congress—have decided to sit with closed doors, and we are precluded from publishing the evidence for the present. The essential fact mentioned above, however, has transpired, and there is no withholding it from the Public elsewhere, who are entitled to it.

### A Tremendous Downfall.

One of the worst cases of corruption exposed and of complete downfall, is that of U. S. Senator S. C. Pomeroy, of Kansas. He was a candidate for re-election, and bought the vote of a member of the Legislature for \$8000—\$7000 of which was paid in hand. When the balloting commenced, the recipient of the bribe rose to a question of privilege—despised the money on the Speaker's desk, where it was counted in the presence of the two Houses—and made a full exposure of the transaction. The scene can be better imagined than described. Pomeroy's adherents stampeded. Pomeroy was arrested for bribery; and was so overwhelmed by the denunciation that he was taken down with brain fever. If he survives he will be tried and committed for the offence, the penalty of which is six years in the Penitentiary. Pomeroy is one of the leading Radical Senators—has been a noisy shrieker over the crimes of the South—and a persistent and unrelenting persecutor of her people. Who will preserve that his time has come? From the Senate to the Penitentiary, or to—, a worse place.

### The Seashore Contested Election.

The Radical majority in the House have refused to admit Mr. Slaydon, the duly elected member from Hancock and Pearl. His seat was contested by the colored man, Cowan, who had been defeated at the polls, and the majority not having the hardihood to give him the seat, refused it to Mr. Slaydon, who was entitled to it; and thus the district is deprived of Representation.

In the case of the Harrison district, the action of the majority was no less arbitrary and unwarrantable. Lindsey was retained in the seat which the people had assigned to Hon. Roderick Seal.

Thus have the political rights of the Seashore region been swept away by the ruling of an unscrupulous partisan majority; and the most scandalous part of the outrage is that mean whites who live in that region and who profess to value the respect of their fellow-citizens, are at the bottom of it.

### Hon. George Torrey's FOREIGN IMMIGRATION SCHEME.

The public, and especially persons directly interested, will find elsewhere an interesting letter containing much valuable information on this subject, from Hon. George Torrey, written from his present headquarters in Liverpool.

## The Penitentiary Job.

The Governor attempts to kill off inquiry into this transaction. To the amazement of everybody he has vetoed the joint resolution which passed the Legislature without dissent, restraining the Auditor from issuing the warrants under the Penitentiary contract, until inquiry could be made into the matter. This is a most indicative—not to use a harsher term—proceeding on the part of the Governor. He knows the facts attending the passage of the resolution. He knows that reports are in circulation, that the alleged purchase was made to promote private interests at the expense of the public treasury. He knows that these reports were so rife that they have found their way into a leading Republican newspaper, which has stated that they involve parties "high in authority." And yet, he has endeavored to suppress inquiry, when he ought to have courted and encouraged, nay, demanded it! His message vetoing the resolution of inquiry is unbecomingly and unfair. He assumes that rights have been vested under the action of the Inspectors to purchase a site for the Penitentiary, and yet he knows that the owner of the property still holds possession; that no steps have been taken beyond the Code of 1857, when the office commanded such legal ability and talent as J. Z. George—the very highest in the State. No complaint was made that the pay was not ample. It is abundant now, and is the present Reporter, who is also engaging the high salary of the Attorney General's office, decides that he cannot perform the service for the price fixed in the new law, the Supreme Judges will have no difficulty in finding a person who will. There is neither sense nor justice in paying the present Reporter from five to seven thousand dollars a volume for service that can be commanded at from two thousand to twenty-five hundred dollars. The tax-payers can't afford to be bled at that rate for the benefit of individuals. It is the disregard of their rights in the matter of taxation and expenditures which has made the present Radical rule the synonym of oppression in their eyes. To the Legislature we would say, in their name, let the new law have a trial. It is wise to make haste slowly, particularly in the matter of going back on the path leading in the right direction. The fault of the Governor, was in his failure to sign the bill when it passed at the last session. His delinquency has lost the State a snug sum amounting to \$20,000 and upwards.

### The Delinquent Poll-Tax.

The Collectors of the Delinquent Poll-Tax are now on their rounds. We suppose that the indebtedness from freedmen on account of Poll-Tax will not fall short of half million of dollars. As that class of our population are required to pay directly, but little else except this tax, it is but proper that it should be collected in order to equalize the burdens of the State's Government. Several hundred thousand dollars would greatly relieve the State exchequer at this time. It will start the schools going again, and furnish an additional reason for a general reduction of taxes, so that our industrial classes can get on their feet again.

### Passage of a Social Equality Bill in the House.

On Wednesday the House passed a bill forbidding, under heavy penalties, proprietors of hotels, theatres, or other places of amusement, common carriers, etc., from making any distinction on account of color. The bill was offered by a negro member, and was passed by an almost strict party vote. The carpet-baggers and scoundrels obeyed the lash of their African task-masters, and fell obediently into line. Under this bill, no keeper of a negro hotel is permitted to exclude a Caucasian whose tastes incline him to that sort of association; nor is a keeper of a hotel for the whites permitted to exclude from his house persons of the African persuasion. There is no discretion left to the proprietor.

### Of course the Conservatives voted against this proposition, as an unwarranted interference with private rights, and a dangerous and demoralizing innovation on the customs of society derived from natural and irreversible laws which cannot be violated without the most disastrous consequences. All right-thinking men are willing that laws shall be passed regarding race, to the right to determine for themselves who they will accommodate; and to proprietors of theatres the same sort of privilege. But when law-makers go further, and undertake to decide who shall be received as guests by these proprietors, they are usurping authority, and treading on ground beneath which volcanic fires are surging. The Legislature has as much right to decide who the proprietors of a farm shall employ to cultivate his land, or the merchant who shall deal with, as to regulate the matter of entertaining guests by the keepers of hotels.

### But this is not the worst feature of the measure. It is the attempt to compel association between the races in violation of natural laws, and in disregard of the peace and good order of society. While it will produce strife, it will not accomplish the object designed by its authors, who insist on legislating colored people into association unnatural with the whites. A similar law was passed by the authorities of Washington City. It was so repulsive to public sentiment that the negroes have never undertaken to avail themselves of it by applying for accommodation at the Arlington, Willard's and other hotels kept for the accommodation of the whites; but it was attempted on a similar purpose. The law was defied; an ambitious blackman, who would not be content to eat at establishments provided for the accommodation of his own race, appealed to the courts for a redress of his alleged grievance, and the supreme tribunal of the District of Columbia, deciding the law unconstitutional, and asserting the principle that every proprietor had a right to decide for himself the guests he will accommodate.

### The colored legislators are doing their race and society a great wrong by forcing this character of legislation and the issues which will inevitably spring out of it.

### There is no hope for the bill refunding the Cotton Tax, the present Congress may pass the next.

## One of the President's Men.

The President has issued an order setting forth that the holding of office under the Federal and State governments by the same person, is "incompatible with the duty of either office," and that "it is detrimental to the public welfare," and all that. And yet, in the face of this order from the fountain-head of Radical authority, Pearce, United States Marshal for the Northern District of Mississippi, still occupies the place of Senator in the State Legislature. It seems that nothing but the notification contained in the said order that United States officials who after the 1st of March continue to hold State offices, will be held to have resigned their Federal places, will induce this carpet-bagger to resign his place in the Senate, from which it will appear that it is not the principle enunciated by the President, which he respects, but the fear of losing the pay and perquisites of the Marshall's office.

### Lively Bill Between Bully Boy and Modest Man.

1st ROUND. Nothing peculiar about Bully Boy except the manner of parting his hair, and his unconsciousness of the gravity of the business he has undertaken. Modest Man looked serene, and was remarkable for size of foot and length of arm. Bully tripped to his place humbly—chattered lively as a monkey and quite as intelligently—reached out his digits and drew the first blood before Muss knew what he was about and then danced back to his corner with a look of triumph.

### 2d ROUND. Bully lively, and confident as ever. Muss "child-like and bland," planted a terrific blow on the broad-shoulder of his antagonist while he was yet arranging his hair—doubling him up and knocking him out of time. Exit the crowd, leaving Bully Boy in the hands of his bottle-brothers—and wondering if he had been struck by lightning.

### THE NATIONAL DISGRACE.—A Washington telegram says that "many senators among those of the old schools are growing very uneasy in view of the facts coming to light in the Credit Mobilier and other investigations. One of the best-known New England senators declared to-day in conversation that, from present appearances, the senate was to be a court of practice for railroad attorneys, and mean rich men."

### Thus far, Brooks, of New York, is the only Democrat of all the hundreds implicated in the infamy, and he, poor fellow, has fallen very low. No one to pity, no one to plead for him. The others are all Radicals—some of the most shining lights of their party—and there are a thousand pensioned newspapers trying to cover their nakedness.

### "Colfax" County.

The Rads in their hunt after County names which would be offensive to the mass of our white population, struck upon that of Schuyler Colfax among the rest. Now, it turns out that Colfax is steeped to the eyes in the Credit Mobilier infamy, and is a self-confessed Corruptor of the deepest dye. What's to be done about it? Is the county still to bear the accused designation? Is its mention to be perpetually suggestive of dishonesty? If that's the rule, why not have Cunningham and Swan counties? Nothing more than this case of Colfax shows the absurdity of naming counties in honor of the living. Who knows until a man is dead what he is coming to?

### The Credit Mobilier exposures which have startled the country and engulfed the reputation of some of its hitherto brightest lights, are not one particle worse than the Subsidy Scandal and the Penitentiary Job which are engaging the attention of the Mississippi Legislature.

### For example, Colfax swore point blank that he had not received one cent of the Mobilier stock; but the circumstances proved that he had. Wilson swore likewise; but afterwards confessed that his wife (his partner) went in and got a large slice.

### Corruption jobs have all a striking family resemblance.

### In his message vetoing one of the Reform bills, the Governor (so-called) attributed the action of the Legislature to hate. But this is contradicted by the statement in the same message, that the bill was passed at the close of the session of nearly five months. He himself had declared, in his January message, that the expenditures were too high, and the bill was not passed until April. The members took several months to digest the subject; and now they are reprimanded by his Boobyship for having acted "with haste."

### Civil Rights in Indiana.

A colored man in Indiana has been sentenced to three years in the penitentiary for marrying a white woman. This is in accordance with the laws of that State, it is said.—Washington Chronicle.

### And Indiana is a truly loyal Northern State. Can't we have something of the kind here? The Chronicle further adds that "equal and exact justice certainly demands that the woman be sent to 'gether with the man if the penitentiary is the place for them.'"

### The Handboro Democrat discredits the statement that the Bully Boy makes no secret of his purpose not to support Ames, if he should be nominated for Governor. It is true, nevertheless. The Democrat who has taken the lead in the Radical ticket or not? Several Senators are understood to hanker after nominations, but it is doubtful if they can make the trip.

### Chief among the parties in the Legislature, who were active in disfranchising the seashore counties, were Col. J. F. H. Claiborne's "cultivated gentlemen of color." The Colonel himself was one of Cowan's certifiers.

### It cannot be said that the Warrant Forgers are the victims of partisan persecution. Nine of the jury which has just found them guilty, were of the Truly Loyal color.

### Colfax, Vice President, has been convicted of perjury in addition to bribery. His downfall is a topic of general comment by the press.

## Downfall of Radical Idols.

The St. Louis Globe (Rad.) referring to the downfall of Colfax, Wilson, Patterson, Pomeroy and other shining lights of Radicalism, agonizingly asks "where is all this leading? Are our idols all to be 'cast down'? Is our public life diseased 'to the core'? If so, perhaps honesty 'yet remains with the people, whence 'new leaders may spring, worthier of the 'Republic and its exalted trusts.'"

### CIVIL RIGHTS AT THE UNITED STATES MILITIA ACADEMY.—Another colored cadet, Sam. Gibbs, so-called, an African of the pure blood, and son of the august Secretary of State of Florida, has left the Militia Academy at West Point, Cause: The Caucasian cadets would not socialize with him. He is not a civil rights bill, with its penalties of imprisonment in the Penitentiary, or hanging and quartering, called for?

### A STENCH.—The Secretary of War has transmitted a message to Congress, representing the Freedmen's Bureau concern to be in a perfectly corrupt and putrid condition. Books missing. Money stolen. Rotteness prevailing. We have a great many graduates of the Bureau filling high places in the Reconstructed States. Our Superintendent of Public Education served a time if we mistake not, in that establishment.

### The Reports of the decisions of the Supreme Court cost the people of Mississippi last year, the unprecedented and astounding sum of THIRTY-THREE THOUSAND DOLLARS.—Twenty thousand of which would have been saved to the tax-payers and a margin of handsome profit still left to the Reporter, if the acting Governor had signed the bill regulating the pay of that officer at the time it was passed.

### The Arkansas Senate bill fixes salaries of State officers as follows: Governor, \$4,000; Lieutenant Governor, \$3,500; Secretary of State, Auditor and Treasurer, \$2,500; Chief Justice, \$3,500; Associate Justices and Judges of Circuit Courts, \$3,000; Attorney General and Superintendent of Public Instruction, \$3,000; Prosecuting Attorneys, \$1,000. The bill has passed.

### The latest example of expert financiering is the receipt by the acting Governor of warrants (in payment of dues to the State in satisfaction of a loan of a sacred trust) which have been pronounced unconstitutional, and therefore invalid, by the law officer of his Administration.

### THE PENITENTIARY LOCATION.—Governor Powers has defeated the Musgrove Penitentiary scheme for the purchase of the Shotwell location.—Vicksburg Herald.

### Our cotemporary has got the thing wrong. It is the Auditors bill that has gored the Governors ox in the matter of the Penitentiary Job.

### The N. Y. Herald says that Schuyler Colfax, since his complicity in the Credit Mobilier infamy, has been brought to light, after his repeated denials, presents the appearance of "a sorrowful, dejected, 'disappointed man.' A special Committee is investigating the case of Wilson, the Vice-President elect.

### A large number of white emigrants from the Carolinas are reported passing through Atlanta, Georgia, seeking deliverance from wicked rule, and a land of promise further West. Numbers of blacks are also reported as leaving Georgia for the lowlands of Arkansas and Louisiana.

### Texas Immigration Societies are sending out agents to Alabama, Georgia and the Carolinas, in search of white immigrants. Tempting inducements of employment on good terms, or land at low rates are held out, and hence their enterprises have been abundantly successful.

### The Memphis Appeal has a warning to Mississippians that the scheme of the holders of the repudiated debt to effect the passage of a measure through the Legislature, recognizing its validity and looking to its payment ultimately—will be sprung upon them unawares.

### The Louisville Ledger says that the Lomax Asylum, at Lexington, Kentucky, has two patients—one from Mississippi and one from Louisiana—who have been inmates of that institution, respectively, for thirty-four and twenty-eight years.

### Mr. McIntosh of Chickasaw has introduced in the Legislature a bill to reduce the usury laws, fixing the rate of interest only in the absence of an agreement. Similar measures are pending in the Georgia and Ohio Legislatures.

### The Cleveland Leader throws John A. Bingham overboard, to sink with his Credit Mobilier dividends. Bingham, will be remembered, as the man to whom Subsidy Morris addressed his Lamar epistle. Birds of a feather.

### If there has been jobbing in the purchase of the Penitentiary site, let the Legislature exert its influence to expose it. The eyes of the people are on that body.

### Poor Alabama.

Worse than the fate of Louisiana or Arkansas is that of Alabama. The State is to be pitied. Indeed, upon the heels of her legislative troubles comes the announcement that she has fallen a prey to Shylock. Henry Clews, has taken the State of Alabama under his fostering care. He is an alien under the party plank for a sudden fluctuation in the value of Alabama bonds at an early day.—Savannah Herald.

### "Face the Music and Call Names."—From the Forest Register.

We are thoroughly tired of this senseless epithet, "the Jackson Clique." It is used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population.

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?

Is there not a purer party fostering in the "Jackson Clique"? Is it used by jealous politicians, trying to engender prejudice in the minds of the voting population?